

# Message Board

Case: **Hamed v. Yusuf, et al.**

Case Info: **SX-12-CV-370 and Consolidated Cases (SX-14-CV-287; SX-14-CV-278), Superior Court of the Virgin Islands**

Display:  ▾

[Post New Message](#)

Replies	Date & Time Posted (AST) ▾	Submitted By ▾	Submitted To ▾	Message ▾
0	1/10/20 12:14 PM	Carl Hartmann III, Esq. (Joel H. Holt, Esq., P.C.)	Special Master and All Counsel	<p><u>Message Title:</u> Hamed Clarification re "No Position" on Surreply <u>Message:</u> Judge Ross:</p> <p>The parties have just completed our regular bi-weekly conference call dealing with the claims and procedural matters. It was brought to my attention that Hamed's RESPONSE to the motion for a surreply on H-142 was not clear that Hamed was taking no position on the motion--thus a response rather than an opposition. Hamed's position is that the stated bases for the motion were incorrect factually.</p> <p>I apologize for any confusion created.</p> <p>Carl Hartmann</p>